

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,797	07/10/2003	Christopher J. Nagel	2751. 2000	3488
38473 7590 09/18/2007 ELMORE PATENT LAW GROUP, PC 209 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			DANG, THUAN D	
N. CHELMSFORD, MA 01863			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,797	NAGEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Thuan D. Dang	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIQ SET TO EYDIDE 2 A	MONITH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S	eptember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
. —					
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaatiaa maayiramaant	·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	t received			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	(Teceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:				

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-17, drawn to a method of reforming hydrocarbons, classified in class
 585, subclass 241.

II. Claims 18-20, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 48, subclass 92.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a fixed bed.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 18-20 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

Art Unit: 1764

There is no reissue error because the original claims are not amended.

Claims 1-17 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

## Conclusion

This reissue application contains only original unamended claims and is found to be allowable and no "error" under 35 U.S.C. 251 exists. The original claims cannot be issued in the first reissue application as unamended since the original claims do not correct any error in the original patent by themselves. Further action in this reissue application is hereby suspended to provide applicant an opportunity to file and prosecute a divisional application for the non-elected invention. Once a divisional application containing the added claims is examined and such claims are determined to be allowable, applicant will be required under 37 CFR 1.177 to merge the two (or more) sets of allowable claims (the original claims in the first reissue application, and the added claims in the divisional application) into a single application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,797

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

10616797.20070906

Jh I